

REMARKS

Receipt of the Office Action of January 26, 2007 is gratefully acknowledged.

Claims 6-11 are presented for examination. These have been finally rejected as anticipated by Tamura et al. under 35 USC 102(b).

This rejection is respectfully traversed.

From a careful reading of the final rejection, it appears that the examiner is of the view that the processing unit claimed includes both the adaptation module and the display unit with the display, keypad and circuit board together. That is not the case. When the adaptation module is used for attachment to the hat rail, the display unit is not used. To make this point clear, claim 6 has been further amended to state that the display unit has a display, a keypad and a circuit board which can be mounted with the display unit but not when the adaptation module is used.

Claim 6 as now amended should now be allowed along with claims 7 - 11.

Sincerely,

BACON & THOMAS, PLLC



Felix J. D'Ambrosio
Reg. No. 25,721

April 26, 2007

BACON & THOMAS, PLLC
625 Slaters lane - 4th Floor
Alexandria, VA 22314
(703) 683-0500